requested that strict orders may be passed directing that such auction be held near the place where the property is situated.

*Reply of Government.*—Referred to the Chief Court.

**Subject No. 72.**
**Central Nazarat System.**
Messrs. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality and B. Garudachar of Shimoga.

*Summary of discussion.*—It is requested that the Central Nazarat system may be abolished. The system does not work well, and deprives Courts of control over the process establishment.

*Reply of Government.*—Referred to the Chief Court for opinion.

**Subject No. 73.**
**Sub-Registrars' Certificate on documents.**
Mr. Shamiah of Kankanhalli Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Under the existing rules, Sub-Registrars, before whom documents are produced for registry only certify that the executants admit the execution of the documents. Orders may be passed requiring Sub-Registrars to certify not only the admission of the execution of the documents, but also the receipt of the amount in consideration of the sale or mortgage, &c., as the case may be, of the property specified therein.

*Reply of Government.*—Referred to the Inspector General of Registration. There is already a provision to this effect, *vide* section 58, clause (*c*), of the Registration Act.

---

## LEGISLATION.

**Subject No. 74.**
**Game Law.**
Messrs. C. Vasudeva Rao of Chikmagalur and A. Sham Rao of North Mysore Native Planters' Association.

*Summry of discussion.*—(*a*) The license fee of Rs. 10 may be reduced as low as possible, say, to rupee one for shooting within the limits of a magani, Rs. 2 in a taluk and Rs. 5 in any part of the State. [Mr. Annaiya Pandit opposes any attempt to do away with licenses.]

(*b*) The old village mamul of shikar on certain festive occasions should not be interfered with.

(*c*) Permission should be given to shoot monkeys, which do much damage.

*Reply of Government.*—This will be dealt with in connection with the passing of the revised Game Rules, which are under consideration.

**Subject No. 75.**
**Undesirability of Amildars being invested with Civil Jurisdiction as proposed in the Draft Amildars' Courts Regulation.**
Messrs. B. Garudachar of Shimoga, Channagiri Ramiah of Shimoga, M. Ramachandra Rao of Chikmagalur, M. Venkatakrishniah and A. Anniah Pandit.

*Summary of discussion.*—(*a*) It is very undesirable to invest the Amildars with civil jurisdiction in summary suits under section 9 of the Specific Relief Act as proposed in the new draft Amildars' Courts Regulation. The tendency nowadays is to deprive the executive of judicial functions as far as possible. As it is, the Amildars are given more than enough of powers, which, if not judiciously and honestly exercised, will make the poor raiyat complain very loudly, and to add to the existing powers by burdening them with civil functions is to make the poor raiyat suffer still more. Summary powers complicate matters by making it difficult to set right the decisions of the Amildars.

Mr. M. Ramachendra Rao observed that formerly, during the administration of Mr. Bowring, Amildars exercised civil powers; but these powers were subsequently taken away, and should not now be again assigned.

Mr. S R. Balakrishna Rao, B. A., LL. B., of Nagar Sabha.

(*b*) The passing of the Amildars' Courts Regulation should be postponed till such time as the standard of efficiency of Amildars in point of qualifications, education and otherwise, is raised to that of the Munsiffs.

(*c*) Mr. Annaiya Pandit, on the other hand, was for powers being given as in the Draft Regulation, as Amildars are in the best position to ascertain local circumstances, and o decide properly.

*Reply of Government.*—Noted for consideration.

**Subject No. 76.**

**Introduction of Gambling Act.**

Messrs. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Nagar Sabha; Guru Rao, Nanjangud; Srinivas Josier, Melkote; Sidda Linga Mari Devaru of T. Narsipur; and M. Anantarajaiya, N. Srikantaiya and C. Krishnaiengar of Mysore City Municipality.

*Summary of discussion.*—A Regulation to punish gambling may be introduced into the State on the lines of the Act in force in British India, in view to prevent the evil effects of gambling by which many persons are being ruined, The matter was represented on several occasions previously.

*Reply of Government.*—The necessary provisions have been embodied in the Draft Police Regulation.

**Subject No. 77.**

**Introduction of amendments to the Civil and Criminal Procedure Codes into Mysore.**

Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, M. Anantarajaiya, N. Srikantaiya and C. Krishnaiengar, Mysore City Municipality

**Local requirements to be considered and members to be consulted.**

Mr. B. Garudachar, Shimoga.

*Summary of discussion.*— (*a*) The important and very useful amendments introduced into the Civil and Criminal Procedure Codes in His Majesty's Territory may be at once brought into force in this State.

(*b*) Mr. Garudachar said that, at the same time only such amendments as are found by discussion to be suited to the conditions of Mysore should be adopted, and not all of them wholesale. In this connection he was of opinion that Representative Members should be consulted on all matters of legislation, and opportunity given them to submit their opinions and suggestions to Government.

*Reply of Government.*—(*a*) Draft Regulations embodying the amendments referred to are ready.

(*b*) Local requirements are, as a matter of fact, carefully considered before amendments are adopted. Government will consult all persons whose opinion is considered of value.

**Subject No. 78.**

**Production of khate extract for attachment before decree.**

Messrs. Srinivas Murti of Gorur, Hassan Taluk, Venkataramaiya and G. Subba Rao of Hole-Narsipur Taluk, B. Garudachar of Shimoga and C. Krishna Murti, Bangalore.

*Summary of discussion.*—In case of attachment before decree production of *khate* extract is insisted on, and as it takes a very long time before that is got, the lands are usually disposed of before orders are passed. The rules may be revised so as to admit of attachment being ordered on the mere application or upon its production at the time of sale. [Mr. Chandrasekharaiya of Mysore says *khate* is not asked for in the case of attachment before decree.]

*Reply of Government.*—Referred to the Chief Court.